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Viewing climate impacts through the lens of the geographic exposures

# Asset Class Insights
Insights on the role of asset classes in climate exposure

# Sector Insights
Climate risks/opportunities are highly differentiated at a sector/geography level

# Paris Alignment
Investigating what happens to the total portfolio risk profile when equities are switched to a “low carbon” benchmark

# Next Steps
Observations on where this analysis could take you

# Annex
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Climate risk exposure | OPERF investment portfolio

The view from 10,000 feet

1. Lower return expectations across all assets due to negative climate impact over time.
Over the next 20 years, all three climate scenarios see lower growth expectations compared to a baseline. This poses a material risk to both scheme balance sheets and future contribution/funding needs.

2. Worst outcomes come in a Failed Transition due to physical risks.
Globally, the physical risks experienced when transition to a greener economy fails, have the most significant impacts (63% lower US GDP by 2100). Notably, by 2037 OPERF’s portfolio value in the Failed Transition scenario is significantly down compared to an orderly low-carbon transition. In a Failed Transition, by 2060 your asset portfolio value is expected to c.20% lower than baseline.

3. Transition risk impacts may occur sooner than most expect.
On the other hand, a transition scenario – even a disorderly one – enables global economies to stabilize once the transition has been completed. There is hope, and this demonstrates the need for investors to engage with companies and sovereigns on the transition whilst also positioning their portfolios well in the interim.

In the near future, transition impacts are generally positive in Europe. In contrast, the US is more negatively impacted than many other countries due to fossil fuels exports and other high-emitting activity currently being a significant contributor to GDP. Relative to the baseline, in a disorderly transition scenario, high exposure to the US economy contributes to OPERF’s portfolio reducing in value by roughly 8% over the next 5 years.

4. Climate risk changes the Strategic Asset Allocation (SAA) landscape as climate impacts affect long-term expectations.
Risk-adjusted returns vary across assets, pathways and time horizons. In general, cash & corporate bonds are more resilient whereas the least resilient asset classes are listed/private equities and properties due to their sensitivity to pricing-in shocks and market over-reaction.

Compared to a typical globally-exposed pension scheme, your portfolio’s current climate risk exposure is relatively more vulnerable due to a exposure to sensitive regions, sectors, and asset classes.

Climate change is likely to see strongly differentiated risk/return at a sector level. As such, future SAA/ALM decisions may benefit from sector-level differences being captured in the analysis.
Key Takeaways | Both short-term and long-term risk is material

In the near future, the portfolio could suffer in particular from losses if a disorderly climate transition transpires. The longer it takes for coordinated policy action on climate, the more radical and disruptive it is likely to be for markets. The pricing-in of physical risk is likely to come many years or decades ahead of direct impacts. The Failed Transition scenario shows your current portfolio experiences significant impacts from a failed transition by the middle of the 2030’s as inevitable future physical damage is priced-in.

The US represents c.70% of OPERF’s allocation exposure (using data received and proxies agreed with you and noting that allocation exposure is not the same as economic exposure). The US economy is negatively exposed to both physical and transition-related climate risks under all pathways. The country’s position as a net fossil fuel exporter, with low energy efficiency, low carbon pricing and high sensitivity to market sentiment shocks make it highly exposed to transition risks. At the same time it is already experiencing severe extreme weather challenges (both “wet” and “dry”) which will only worsen with increasing temperatures, even under the transition scenarios.

Across all pathways, there is significant differentiation between the likely experiences of different countries, sectors and asset classes. We recommend that using this analysis, you could work with your fund managers and advisors further integrating climate into your investment process. For example:

- Identify the “hotspots” of risk, for closer inspection by risk- and asset-managers
- Consider SAA/ALM actions to balance de-risking, scheme investment objectives and budgetary considerations
  - For example a “climate-informed” SAA exercise
    - Consider rotation away from transition-sensitive sectors/geographies whilst resilience testing asset de-risking in mitigating climate risk
    - Careful, climate-risk informed choice of longer term, illiquid assets
- Consider if fund benchmarks are incentivizing fund managers to align their funds with your objectives/risk appetites in the light of this study?
- Where segregated mandates are used, then careful mandate design will be crucial to appropriately managing climate risk and taking risk-conscious advantage of the coming economic shifts. For example maturity caps on debt issued by climate-exposed sectors and climate-aware KPIs for total return funds.
- Potential next steps are expanded upon later in this report with suggestions for different elements of the investment process.
The fund’s asset allocation

Below are asset class and geographic summaries of the allocations we modelled. These were based on data provided by OST and then mapped to our model. Where proxies were required these were agreed with the team.

In many ways the allocations are typical of other large pension funds open to members and accruing benefits. The significant domestic bias is also typical of pension funds around the world.
Climate scenarios at a glance

We consider three plausible climate pathways that explore potential future climate policies, interventions, and consequences of the world failing to mitigate climate change. Scenarios cannot cover all possible outcomes, and are not mutually exclusive. There is no meaningful or practically useful way to give a probability of a scenario coming to fruition. These scenarios were selected to identify portfolio weak spots that aid decision making to respond to climate risk.

These “what if” climate change scenarios focus on two interdependent climate risk drivers:

- **Transition risk** focuses on the impacts (risks/opportunities) of **policy / technology uptake** towards a low-carbon economy
- **Physical risk** focuses on changes in the natural system attributable to global warming, i.e. sea level rise, frequency and severity of extreme weather events.

### Paris Orderly Pathway

- **Large transition impact** due to policy measures & technology drivers
- Transition is assumed to occur as smoothly as possible
- **Market pricing-in** dynamics occur smoothed out over the 2020-2025 period
- **Physical impacts** occur up to 1.5/2°C which are greater than today but still much less than under a Failed Transition

In line with: Emissions = IPCC RCP 2.6
Average temp increase of 1.6°C by 2100.
97% probability of limiting warming to 2°C and c.29% probability of limiting to 1.5°C.

Tests exposure to the risks/opportunities from the systemic drivers of an orderly transition and locked-in physical risk

### Paris Disorderly Pathway

- **Large transition impact** due to policy measures & technology drivers
- Transition has disruptive effects on financial markets with repricing followed by a sudden sentiment shock and stranded assets in 2024 / 2025
- **Physical impacts** occur up to 1.5/2°C which are greater than today but still much less than under a failed transition

In line with: Emissions = IPCC RCP 2.6
Average temp increase of 1.6°C by 2100.
97% probability of limiting warming to 2°C and c.29% probability of limiting to 1.5°C.

Shows resilience of the portfolio to sudden transition triggering a market dislocation centred on high emitting stocks

### Failed Transition Pathway

- **Limited transition impact** - economies follow the business-as-usual track without additional new policy measures
- **Severe physical impacts** occur and continues to increase over time – both **gradual physical changes**, as well as more frequent and severe **extreme weather events**
- **Markets price-in physical risks** up to 2050 by end of this decade, and price-in post-2050 physical risks from the mid-2030s onwards

In line with: Emissions = IPCC RCP 6.0
Expected global warming by 2100 3.8°C

The main focus of this scenario is physical risk, results show the exposure to plausible, severe climate change impacts
Some guiding principles for using these results

The modeling was performed using benchmarks, tailored to reflect the asset allocation of the OPERF portfolio.

Unless stated otherwise, results are shown relative to a baseline that does not make an explicit allowance for the paradigm-shifting changes that our scenarios consider. Instead the baseline is conditioned on historic relationships and long-term views based on current market conditions.

The scenarios have been constructed as diligently as possible. However, climate science is intrinsically subject to significant uncertainties. So scenarios are best viewed as a pressure test for the portfolio, probing for climate-risk weak spots.

Interpretation notes

- Focus on direction and magnitude vs exact numbers
  - Overlay these results on your views/knowledge of individual holdings
  - Results are shown relative to the baseline
- Many climate-financial relationships are non-linear
- Physical risk impacts are likely underestimated
- Climate change scenarios focus on two interdependent climate risk drivers:
  - Transition risk focuses on the impacts (opportunities/risks) of policy/technology uptake towards a low-carbon economy
  - Physical risk focuses on changes in the natural system and impacts on natural catastrophe severity/frequency and resource availability
  - It is entirely plausible that the future holds a mixture of the effects that we model
OPERF investment portfolio performance

The figure below shows the ratio of cumulative impacts relative to baseline over the next 40 years.

**Comments**

- While the overall performance of the fund remains positive in absolute terms, all scenarios project lower returns and impede the value of assets. The Paris scenarios limit the impacts on the fund mainly thanks to their mitigated physical risks exposure.

- In the short run, OPERF’s assets are vulnerable to transition risks. The Paris Disorderly Transition Pathway is particularly impactful in the short term due to the sudden repricing of assets in 2025. The disruptive transition causes financial markets to overly react and inflict long lasting damage to the return performance.

- In the longer run, physical risks are the main contributor of climate-related risk. The Failed Transition Pathway is particularly detrimental to the Treasury due to the large exposure to US assets across the different asset classes.
Redacted
To help us make sense of the drivers for country exposure, this section considers the main levers of regional differences before examining our “rankings” of countries by:
- Scenario
- Key economic variables
- Equity performance
Portfolio exposure – geographic lens

Whilst a strong domestic bias is typical of many pension funds, at a systemic level the US is more exposed to climate risk than many other countries.

![Pie chart showing portfolio exposure by region]

- **US**: 66%
- **Europe basket**: 13%
- **Global basket**: 10%
- **EM**: 5%
- **All remaining countries**: 5%
Country attribution of total climate risk impacts – all assets

Across all asset classes, US and EM exposures drive total impacts slightly larger than justified by allocations

- Main source of risk comes from the large exposure to US assets.
- The top 3 regions (US, EU, EM) account for most of the risks in the fund across all scenarios.
- Given its unique geographical situation, and allowing for the relative benefits of USTs the US contributes it’s fair share of climate risk in the Failed Transition and Disorderly scenario. However, without USTs the picture is very different.
- Despite its much lower allocation, EM is a large contributor of physical risks under a Failed Transition in particular.
Proportional country attribution – all assets

Across all asset classes, US and EM exposures drive total impacts disproportionate to their allocations

Comments

• By re-framing the contributions as a % of total and comparing to allocated capital, we can see which regions produce more climate risk than their fair share.

• The most striking here are US (all scenarios), Global basket (transition) and EM (physical risk – failed transition).

• Note that if we removed the dampening effect of USTs from the US bucket, it would be contributing c.70%-80% of the risk – somewhat in excess of the proportional capital allocated.
## Key transition risk drivers explaining regional performance differences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Transition Risk Drivers</th>
<th>Impact Narrative</th>
<th>Example Countries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level of carbon price</td>
<td>The higher it is, the more the region benefits from investments in low-carbon technologies.</td>
<td>Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net importer/exporter of (high marginal production cost) fossil fuels</td>
<td>Exporters tend to be worse off, importers tend to be better off.</td>
<td>Brazil/Malaysia vs. Canada/US/Saudi Arabia/Norway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight of energy sector in local stock market</td>
<td>The higher the weight, the more negatively impacted.</td>
<td>Canada, Norway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative energy efficiency of the economy</td>
<td>If energy efficient, then investments in energy efficiency (driven by carbon price) boost economic performance.</td>
<td>Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon revenue recycling</td>
<td>The higher the carbon price, the more scope for a lowering of VAT / income tax which boosts household incomes. Households are buffered from increasing energy prices.</td>
<td>Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity to sentiment shock</td>
<td>Some countries are historically more sensitive than others to market shocks.</td>
<td>USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment stimulus</td>
<td>Positive GDP impact in those countries where transition investments are taking place.</td>
<td>China, Netherlands, Finland, Sweden, Turkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer spending stimulus</td>
<td>Positive GDP impact in those countries where consumer spending in transition activities is taking place.</td>
<td>UK, Europe, New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stimulus combined with debt repayment</td>
<td>Initial positive GDP impacts; then decrease in GDP in later years.</td>
<td>Italy, Switzerland</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Key to countries: Europe, Brazil/Malaysia vs. Canada/US/Saudi Arabia/Norway, Canada/US/Saudi Arabia/Norway, USA, China, Netherlands, Finland, Sweden, Turkey, UK, Europe, New Zealand, Italy, Switzerland.*
Key physical risk drivers explaining regional performance differences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical Risk Drivers</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Latitude effect</td>
<td>Countries closer to the poles are currently still less exposed, but warming at faster rates. Countries closer to the equator are already more exposed, with temperature having non-linear impact on productivity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current temperature</td>
<td>Countries with current average temperatures below $-5^\circ C$ (such as Finland and Russia) experience initial positive GDP growth impacts from warming, while countries with high average temperatures experience large negative impacts on GDP growth (e.g., India and Saudi Arabia).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity to physical impacts</td>
<td>Decreasing land, labor and industrial productivity in regions that are relatively more exposed to physical impacts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity to economic amplification</td>
<td>Countries with a lower economic coping capacity to buffer extreme weather losses (if they start to occur more frequently).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How do other countries rank in terms of climate impacts?

GDP shocks: Transition scenarios expose countries to risks and opportunities.

- After Canada, the US is the most **negatively** impacted country under both transition pathways.
- Singapore, France, the Netherlands, Sweden and Spain are most **positively** impacted under a transition scenario.
How do other countries rank in terms of climate impacts?

GDP shocks: A Failed Transition has negative impacts on all countries, but to varying degrees.

- The US, together with India, Brazil and China are the most impacted by a Failed Transition.
- Canada and the Nordic countries are least impacted by the Failed Transition thanks to their demographic and geographic situation.
How do other countries rank in terms of climate impacts?

Inflation shocks vary across countries and under each pathway.

- While for some countries, inflation is relatively unaffected by climate change, others experience either a net positive or a net negative inflation impact under the transition scenarios.
- The US experiences high net positive inflation impacts. This is largely driven by demand-pull inflation from higher fuel and carbon taxes.
How do other countries rank in terms of climate impacts?

**Inflation shocks:** almost all countries experience negative shock under a Failed Transition.

- Under a Failed Transition scenario pretty much all countries experience a negative inflation impact.
- The US is relatively heavily impacted compared to Europe or Canada.

![Failed Transition: 20-year inflation impact breakdown (annualized)](image-url)
How do other countries rank in terms of climate impacts?

**Equity returns** are one of the least resilient asset classes and suffer both from transition and physical risk drivers.

- Transition impacts on equity returns are significantly more severe if the transition happens in a disorderly manner.
- Under both transition scenarios, the US ranks among the most impacted regions although not as much as Canada.
How do other countries rank in terms of climate impacts?

**Equity returns** vary across countries and under each pathway.

- A Failed Transition impacts equity returns most severely via the markets pricing-in of gradual physical risks.
- While Taiwan, India, China, and other emerging markets are the most negatively impacted nations, the US still leads the way in terms of developed nation facing physical risks (-2.16% in annual losses)

Failed Transition: 20-year global equities impact breakdown (annualized)
Climate impacts on US Equities vs. the World

US equities face higher transition risk, and seem less resilient to physical risk exposure.

The Paris Orderly and Disorderly Transition Pathways have a large impact on the US economy, due in part by reduced income from oil and gas exports and high emitting sectors. This is reflected in the impacts on US equity. Compared to the rest of the world, transition impacts are expected to be 50% larger under an orderly transition and 20% larger under a disorderly transition by 2060. Unlike its northern neighbor, the US exposure to physical risks renders the country more vulnerable than most countries. Compared to World equities (of which US is c.60% - MSCI ACWI), US equities are expected to be 40% more exposed to physical risks.

Comments

The Paris Orderly and Disorderly Transition Pathways have a large impact on the US economy, due in part by reduced income from oil and gas exports and high emitting sectors. This is reflected in the impacts on US equity. Compared to the rest of the world, transition impacts are expected to be 50% larger under an orderly transition and 20% larger under a disorderly transition by 2060. Unlike its northern neighbor, the US exposure to physical risks renders the country more vulnerable than most countries. Compared to World equities (of which US is c.60% - MSCI ACWI), US equities are expected to be 40% more exposed to physical risks.
Contribution Analysis: What Types of Risk Affect Your Assets?

A closer look at climate impacts on equities in various markets – Paris Orderly Scenario
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Contribution Analysis: What Types of Risk Affect Your Assets?

A closer look at climate impacts on equities in various markets – Paris Disorderly Scenario

US Equity
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Contribution Analysis: What Types of Risk Affect Your Assets?

A closer look at climate impacts on equities in various markets – Failed Transition
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Asset class insights

Having considered regional differences, this section focuses in on the asset classes currently forming the portfolio.

Results are presented in time buckets, showing the median return and the downside 5th percentile.

We show median return for each scenario as a delta to the baseline, so as to give a “climate shock” for that scenario.

For the risk measure, we show the difference in return between the scenario median and the scenario 5% CVaR. This is intended to give you a sense of the downside dispersion of the distribution in that scenario.

By color-coding the tables we can see the hot and cool spots in the portfolio, where it could be most efficient to make deeper investigations into risks and opportunities.

Further granularity is provided in the annex.
### Key Findings – Asset Classes

**FOCUS ON PARIS DISORDERLY TRANSITION RISKS**

**Fixed Income:**
- Less sensitive to climate risks than other asset classes.
- Corporate and non-investment grade bonds are more sensitive and sector-specific in exposure

**Equities and PE:**
- Global equities very sensitive
- US especially hard hit
- PE mirrors equity sensitivities

**Alternatives, Real Estate, Infrastructure:**
- Alternatives can offer climate transition protection due to a lower beta
- Real estate and infrastructure follow similar dynamics as public equity especially if strong links to energy and utilities
- Real assets - holdings slightly more exposed due to exposure to transition-exposed sectors
- Physical risk exposure becomes more critical through time

All of the above should be weighed against the need to meet pension liabilities.

More detailed tables (also for the other climate scenarios), with upside and downside 5% VaR are included in the annex.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021-2025 Median</th>
<th>2021-2025 Bottom 5% VaR</th>
<th>2026-2030 Median</th>
<th>2026-2030 Bottom 5% VaR</th>
<th>2031-2040 Median</th>
<th>2031-2040 Bottom 5% VaR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fixed income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Investment Grade Bonds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Government Bonds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Index-Linked</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US High Yield</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM Debt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSCI World AC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Cap</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Volatility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Equity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venture Capital/Growth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buyout</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging Market</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distressed Debt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Real Estate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REITs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Asset Portfolio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunity Portfolio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversifying Strategies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF Fund of Funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk Parity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Sovereign Rates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflation-Linked Bonds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate Credits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Listed Equities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commodities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data Redacted
Risk/Return Analysis of portfolio constituents* (annualized results)

Scenario 1: Paris orderly transition pathway

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Range</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Bottom 5% VaR</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Bottom 5% VaR</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Bottom 5% VaR</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Bottom 5% VaR</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Bottom 5% VaR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2021-2025</td>
<td>Fixed income</td>
<td>-0.5%</td>
<td>-7.2%</td>
<td>-0.1%</td>
<td>-7.9%</td>
<td>-0.3%</td>
<td>-5.0%</td>
<td>-0.5%</td>
<td>-5.2%</td>
<td>-0.5%</td>
<td>-5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>US Investment Grade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>US Government Bonds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>US Index-Linked</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>US High Yield</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EM Debt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2026-2030</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSCI World AC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Small Cap</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum Volatility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2031-2040</td>
<td>Private Equity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Venture Capital/Growth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Buyout</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Emerging Market</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Distressed Debt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2041-2050</td>
<td>Real Estate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Direct Real Estate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>REITs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2051-2060</td>
<td>Real Assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Real Asset Portfolio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Opportunity Portfolio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021-2060</td>
<td>Diversifying Strategies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HF Fund of Funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Risk Parity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Global Sovereign Rates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inflation-Linked Bonds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Corporate Credits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Listed Equities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commodities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Additional granularity can be provided upon request.
### Risk/Return Analysis of portfolio constituents* (annualized results)

#### Scenario 2: Paris disorderly transition pathway

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2021-2025</th>
<th>2026-2030</th>
<th>2031-2040</th>
<th>2041-2050</th>
<th>2051-2060</th>
<th>2021-2060</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Bottom 5% VaR</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Bottom 5% VaR</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Bottom 5% VaR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Income</td>
<td>-2.6%</td>
<td>-7.4%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>-8.0%</td>
<td>-0.3%</td>
<td>-5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Investment Grade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Government Bonds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Index-Linked</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US High Yield</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM Debt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSCI World AC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Cap</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Volatility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Equity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venture Capital/Growth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buyout</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging Market</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distressed Debt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Real Estate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REITs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Asset Portfolio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunity Portfolio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversifying Strategies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF Fund of Funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk Parity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Sovereign Rates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflation-Linked Bonds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate Credits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Listed Equities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commodities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Additional granularity can be provided upon request

**Data Redacted**
## Risk/Return Analysis of portfolio constituents* (annualized results)

**Scenario 3: Failed transition pathway**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>2021-2025</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Bottom 5% VaR</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Bottom 5% VaR</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Bottom 5% VaR</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Bottom 5% VaR</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Bottom 5% VaR</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Bottom 5% VaR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>-7.2%</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
<td>-7.9%</td>
<td>-2.8%</td>
<td>-4.9%</td>
<td>-0.9%</td>
<td>-5.1%</td>
<td>-0.9%</td>
<td>-5.1%</td>
<td>-1.1%</td>
<td>-2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Income</td>
<td>US Investment Grade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>US Government Bonds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>US Index-Linked</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>US High Yield</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EM Debt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>MSCI World AC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Small Cap</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum Volatility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Equity</td>
<td>Venture Capital/Growth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Buyout</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Emerging Market</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Distressed Debt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate</td>
<td>Direct Real Estate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>REITs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Assets</td>
<td>Real Asset Portfolio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Opportunity Portfolio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversifying Strategies</td>
<td>HF Fund of Funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk Parity</td>
<td>Global Sovereign Rates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inflation-Linked Bonds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Corporate Credits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Listed Equities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commodities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Additional granularity can be provided upon request*
Focus on real assets (1/3)

What drives the climate exposure of your real asset portfolio? Zoom in on the main contributing sectors

The real asset portfolio was analyzed on a bespoke basis in Climate MAPS. We analyzed the sector exposure within the portfolio to create the bespoke climate shock. As the portfolio breakdown slides above illustrate, the asset class is quite exposed to climate risks.

To better understand, we created a bespoke calibration for the real assets portfolios. Working with your teams, we agreed on the following mapping to capture the systemic region/sector exposures. Sector allocations were made on the basis of data provided which was assumed to reflect the dominant economic activity of the individual holding.

As with the rest of our analysis, the outputs should be viewed as an overlay to your knowledge of the underlying holdings.

Also note that what are described here as “sectors” are more accurately “economic activities”, and so a more diversified company could (if more granular data were available) be considered a blend of different region/sector pairs.

Cells circled pink denote the sectors highlighted in the charts on the next slide. Some cells show a 0% due to rounding for clarity of presentation, however they were included in the model.
Focus on real assets (2/3)

What drives the climate exposure of your real asset portfolio? Zoom in on the main contributing sectors

Below, we show the performance of the top 5 equity sector/region combinations in the real asset portfolio. These 5 sectors represent c.55% of the real asset portfolio. The most notable exposure stems from US Oil & Gas that represents c.30% of the portfolio. This sector is expected to suffer significantly during the transition.

In the Failed Transition scenario, all sectors are impacted equally by physical risks - there are no safe haven when viewed at this level of granularity. At individual holding/project level there will be considerable difference in resilience to physical client risks.

Growth of Selected Equity Sectors
Paris Disorderly Scenario

Growth of Selected Equity Sectors
Failed Transition Scenario
Focus on real assets (3/3)

What drives the climate exposure of your real asset portfolio? Zoom in on the main contributing sectors

The overall impact on your real asset portfolio is a blended average of the performance of the sectors previously shown as well as the smaller exposures not presented on the previous slide. The picture below details further the underperformance of your real asset portfolio illustrated in the previous tables.

Potential “quick wins” for this portfolio could be to consider carefully exposures to the utility and oil & gas sectors (transition risk) as well as considering diversifying with foreign investments in countries less exposed to climate risk such as in Europe (both physical and transition risks).
Sector insights

The sector-level impact of climate risk is highly differentiated.

By considering the differences between sectors within countries and between countries, we can start to make sense of the landscape of risks and opportunities.
The sector-level impact of climate risk is highly differentiated. Remembering that the sector heatmaps reflect economic activities, it is likely that any one company has exposure to multiple cells in the heatmap – regardless of the sector that company may been allocated to in a system like GICS.

**Paris Orderly**
Short term, the orderly pricing-in of the transition sees significant “losers” in fossil-exposed sectors such as fossil-based utilities (which need to be substituted, so utility companies shift activities to low-carbon utilities), Other Energy (coal and oil sands) and O&G. Low-carbon energy sees significant upside from both sector growth and revenues transferring from fossil-based energy generation. Within 20 years fossil-based utilities have essentially disappear.

**Paris Disorderly**
This disorderly shock, which is modelled in the first 5 years, has an epicenter in the high-emission and fossil-exposed sectors. The subsequent recovery is faster in climate-aligned activity sectors such as low-carbon utilities and to a lesser extent in more neutral activity sectors like consumer.

**Failed transition**
The physical risk impacts central to this scenario do not start to be priced in until after 2025. But after 10y the impacts are marked and in our current modelling most differentiated by region. However, other factors to consider in assessing physical risk at holding level are the length/complexity of supply chains and the resilience of major facilities to extreme weather.

**How to use this in your decisions**
One potential way to use these tables is in testing portfolio construction resilience, understanding sector-level “what ifs” and their impact on strategy implementation. Another application could be for fund managers to overlay these “sector views” over their views on individual holding and how they could respond to this systemic impacts.
### Sectorial Impacts under the Paris Orderly Transition Pathway

Cumulative return (difference to baseline) heat map – Public equities – 5 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cumulative climate impact - Paris Orderly</th>
<th>SY</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Oil &amp; Gas</th>
<th>Other Energy</th>
<th>Low Carbon Electric</th>
<th>Other Utilities</th>
<th>Water Supply</th>
<th>Forestry</th>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Public Admin.</th>
<th>Industrials</th>
<th>Consumer Disc.</th>
<th>Consumer Staples</th>
<th>Health</th>
<th>Financials</th>
<th>IT</th>
<th>Telecom</th>
<th>Real Estate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>World</td>
<td>5Y</td>
<td>-1.8%</td>
<td>-11.7%</td>
<td>-8.5%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>-54.4%</td>
<td>-1.7%</td>
<td>-1.7%</td>
<td>-1.2%</td>
<td>-3.0%</td>
<td>-0.6%</td>
<td>-2.0%</td>
<td>-1.6%</td>
<td>-1.8%</td>
<td>-1.3%</td>
<td>-1.0%</td>
<td>-1.4%</td>
<td>-1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEVELOPED MARKETS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMERGING MARKETS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## Sectorial Impacts under the Paris Disorderly Transition Pathway

Cumulative return (difference to baseline) heat map – Public equities – 5 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cumulative climate impact - Paris Disorderly</th>
<th>5Y</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Oil &amp; Gas</th>
<th>Other Energy</th>
<th>Low Carbon Electric,*</th>
<th>Other Utilities</th>
<th>Water Supply</th>
<th>Forestry</th>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Public Admin.</th>
<th>Industrials</th>
<th>Consumer Disc.</th>
<th>Consumer Staples</th>
<th>Health</th>
<th>Financials</th>
<th>IT</th>
<th>Telecom</th>
<th>Real Estate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>World</td>
<td></td>
<td>-15.9%</td>
<td>-26.8%</td>
<td>-23.3%</td>
<td>-2.7%</td>
<td>-67.8%</td>
<td>-15.8%</td>
<td>-15.8%</td>
<td>-15.4%</td>
<td>-17.2%</td>
<td>-14.7%</td>
<td>-16.1%</td>
<td>-15.6%</td>
<td>-15.9%</td>
<td>-15.4%</td>
<td>-15.2%</td>
<td>-15.5%</td>
<td>-15.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Note that some region/sector combinations were originally very small which cause the growth rate to appear particularly large
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## Sectoral Impacts under the Failed Transition Pathway

Cumulative returns (difference to baseline) heat map – Public equities – 20 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>20Y</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Oil &amp; Gas</th>
<th>Other Energy</th>
<th>Low Carbon Electric</th>
<th>Other Utilities</th>
<th>Water Supply</th>
<th>Forestry</th>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Public Admin.</th>
<th>Industrials</th>
<th>Consumer Disc.</th>
<th>Consumer Staples</th>
<th>Health</th>
<th>Financials</th>
<th>IT</th>
<th>Telecom</th>
<th>Real Estate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>World</td>
<td>-36.3%</td>
<td>-36.3%</td>
<td>-36.3%</td>
<td>-36.1%</td>
<td>-36.3%</td>
<td>-36.3%</td>
<td>-36.3%</td>
<td>-36.3%</td>
<td>-36.3%</td>
<td>-36.3%</td>
<td>-36.3%</td>
<td>-36.3%</td>
<td>-36.3%</td>
<td>-36.3%</td>
<td>-36.3%</td>
<td>-36.3%</td>
<td>-36.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Developed Markets

**US**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Japan</th>
<th>UK</th>
<th>France</th>
<th>Germany</th>
<th>Canada</th>
<th>Sweden</th>
<th>Switzerland</th>
<th>Australia</th>
<th>Netherlands</th>
<th>Spain</th>
<th>Italy</th>
<th>Singapore</th>
<th>Finland</th>
<th>Denmark</th>
<th>Norway</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Emerging Markets

**China**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>India</th>
<th>South Korea</th>
<th>Brazil</th>
<th>Russia</th>
<th>Malaysia</th>
<th>Thailand</th>
<th>Indonesia</th>
<th>Philippines</th>
<th>Taiwan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

*Note that from Dec21 our modelling will better differentiate the impact to different sectors from physical risk*

Data Redacted
Redacted
What-if Analysis: Switch all listed equities to “Paris-aligned” companies

This section analyzes the impact of switching all investments in listed equities to a low-carbon (Paris-aligned) benchmark. (100% of companies aligned to a world consistent with the goals of the Paris agreement).

The current equity portfolio was simplified and represented by MSCI World.

Performance of the fund is compared between the base benchmark and a completely aligned benchmark.
Switching to Paris-aligned benchmarks potentially mitigates downside performance if a disorderly transition scenario unfolds

“What happens to OPERF's real returns when equities are allocated to Paris-aligned benchmarks?”

- Started the analysis from the current portfolio and swapped all equities for MSCI World (30% of fund).
- Analyzed two alternatives: standard MSCI World benchmark versus a fully Paris-aligned version of the benchmark.
- Switching to an (idealized) 100% Paris aligned benchmark would provide the best hedge from transition risks. However, implementation limitations mean that the real degree of alignment will probably be lower (too few aligned companies to maintain diversification).
- As more companies commit to net-zero, higher degrees of alignment could be achieved.
- It is important to note, however, that Paris alignment does not help for mitigating physical risks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund Performance under our 3 Scenarios</th>
<th>Paris Aligned vs. Traditional Equity Benchmarks, 2020-2060</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Failed Transition</td>
<td>Paris Orderly Transition Pathway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard MSCI World</td>
<td>MSCI World Paris Aligned (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>-5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table of Contents
- Executive Summary
- Geographic Insights
- Asset Class Insights
- Sector Insights
- Paris-Alignment
- Next Steps
- Annex
Next steps

We have drawn on our experience with many pension funds globally to provide a brief set of recommendations for OST to consider as “next steps” following this analysis.

The recommendations are, however, just suggestions not advice and we would naturally expect the Treasury to arrive at its own decisions.

Whilst our analysis has been focused on the asset-allocation aspects, our suggestions cover the full gamut of the investment process since that is typically what is required to fully address this huge topic.
Recommendations – observations on best practice

Climate Strategy

- Prioritize climate change engagement, and climate conscious governance of real assets.
- Evolve processes to embed climate change analysis at every level of risk analysis and decision-making along the investment process.
- Regularly engage with stakeholders and communicate OPERF’s vision and strategy on climate and ESG issues.
- Ensure OPERF’s public climate commitments continue to be underpinned by further climate change training and capacity building for internal teams and external facing staff (such as media relations).
Recommendations – observations on best practice

Total Portfolio

- **Align**
  - Consider positioning the portfolio toward a low-carbon (net zero) transition including conducting bottom up transition-analysis and creating a multi-year strategy with milestones and targets.

- **Mitigate**
  - Given the Paris Disorderly transition poses material short term risks to OPERF; implementation and sector and regional climate differences should be researched and considered.

- **Manage**
  - Prioritize transition risk management focused on exposure to the energy and utilities sectors and within infrastructure, global credit, equity and private equity.

- **Resilience**
  - Develop an approach to manage physical risks which should include physical climate change risk resilience assessments especially for large infrastructure, PE, real estate. This could be allied with engagement on resilience building and adaptation.
Recommendations – observations on best practice

Asset Classes

**Equities**: Tilt equity portfolios to be less exposed to transition risk by using climate-aware benchmarks or sustainable funds aligned to transition scenarios. Consider aligning fund manager KPIs to your appetite for transition risk in mandate design.

Prioritize researching climate risks in utilities/energy stocks, bonds and real-assets.

To support potential future reporting requirements, include capturing climate transition indicators (regulatory, regional, business and technology risk etc.) and analyzing sector specific climate risks.

**Alternatives**: Given OPERF’s allocation to alternatives, consider new allocations to climate solutions oriented private assets (such as green infrastructure).

**Fixed Income**: US corporate credits are less sensitive to climate transition risks than equities and could be a good way to maintain US exposure. However, this should be balanced with the need to meet liabilities.
Next steps – Phase 2: Proposals for insightful “what-if” analysis

1) Investigate the potential benefit of geographic diversification by halving US equity and real asset exposure and rebalancing to less climate-exposed regions
US Policies

- Carbon tax
- Investment subsidies for CCS
- Feed-in tariffs for renewables
- Coal-fired electricity fully phased out by 2050
- Biofuel blending requirements
- Policies supporting take up of EVs
- Investments in energy efficiency

Find out more on our Narratives Dashboard: [www.climatemaps.app](http://www.climatemaps.app)
In Paris Transition Pathways:

- Primary fuel demand decreases 45% by 2050 relative to 2020
- Biofuel use grows more than tenfold
- Proportion of gas stays relatively stable
- Share of oil and coal reduces substantially

Find out more on our Narratives Dashboard: www.climatemaps.app
US Electricity Generation

In Paris Transition Pathways:
- Renewables and CCS technologies make up over 70% of the US electricity generation mix in 2050
- Fossil fuel phase out rapidly in the short term and gradually in the long term
- Take up of new technology due to investment in low-carbon technology

Find out more on our Narratives Dashboard: www.climatemaps.app
In Paris Transition Pathways:
- By 2050 electric vehicles make up 97% of the US passenger transport mix

Find out more on our Narratives Dashboard: www.climatemaps.app
A closer look at the three climate pathways

The impact of orderly climate action

**Scenario 1: Paris Orderly Transition Pathway**

1. Paris Agreement goals met.
2. Rapid and effective climate action, with smooth market reaction.
3. Ambitious low carbon policies – high investment in low carbon technologies.
4. Major change in global fuel / electricity mix.
5. Average global temperature stabilizes at 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels.
6. Transition has limited positive effect on global GDP and is more than offset by negative physical impacts.
7. Moderate physical impacts, with a much lower increase in extreme weather risks between 2020 and 2100 than under a Failed Transition scenario.
8. The US, compared to other regions, is more negatively impacted by this pathway due to the its economy’s dependency on fossil fuel exports, its slow progress on energy efficiency and carbon pricing, as well as its high sensitivity to market sentiment.

Note: the data presented in the graphs is shown as difference to baseline and are annualized results.
A closer look at the three climate pathways

The impact of a delayed market reaction

Scenario 2: Paris Disorderly Transition Pathway

1. Paris Agreement goals **met**.
2. Rapid & effective climate action, but markets slow to react.
3. Ambitious low carbon policies – high investment in low carbon technologies.
4. Major change in global fuel / electricity mix.
5. Average global warming stabilizes at 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels.
6. Transition has limited positive effect on global GDP and is outweighed by negative physical impacts.
7. Abrupt market reaction in 2025 impacts the real economy, for example causing a fall in all major countries’ GDP in 2025. In the long term, GDP is slightly lower than in the Paris Orderly scenario as a result of the disorderly transition.
8. Moderate physical impacts, with a much lower increase in extreme weather risks between 2020 and 2100 than under a Failed Transition scenario.
9. The US, compared to other regions, is more impacted due to its sensitivity to transition risks & how these are priced in.

Note: the data presented in the graphs is shown as difference to baseline and are annualized results.
A closer look at the three climate pathways

What might happen if Paris goals are not met?

Scenario 3: Failed Transition Pathway

1. Paris agreement goals not met.
2. Only existing climate policies are implemented.
3. Limited change in global fuel/electricity mix despite significant falls in renewable energy prices.
4. Average global warming is about 2°C by 2050 and 4°C by 2100, compared to pre-industrial levels.
5. Physical impacts have a significant negative impact on global GDP.
6. Extreme weather risks increase significantly between 2020 and 2100 via a combination of increasing event frequency and severity of losses.
7. The physical risks are comparable to the two Paris scenarios for the first 10 years, then increase substantially and irreversibly. Warming makes agriculture impossible in certain areas around the world. Extreme weather events more than double on a global level.
8. Unfortunately, the US demography and geography plays against its favour and exacerbates the adverse effects of global warming (especially at risk from extreme weather events)

Note: the data presented in the graphs is shown as difference to baseline and are annualized results
Climate impacts on selected macroeconomic variables – United States*

How is the economy impacted by climate change?

**Paris Transition:**
- Over the next 20 years, the US is heavily impacted by the transition pathways due to the economy’s dependence on fossil fuel exports. Rapidly declining demand will impact also other related sectors, as well as government royalties, spending, and so on.
- Other regions, such as Europe and China, can even benefit from the low-carbon transition, such as renewable energy technology producers.
- In the second half of this century, transition risks will fade out and lower GDP expectations compared to baseline are due to the locked-in physical impacts of half a degree of further warming compared to today.

**Failed Transition:**
- Physical risks become more significant over time, which gradually affect GDP growth. These physical risks are particularly impactful for the US unlike other countries such as its neighbor, Canada.
- Due to its demographic and geographic situation, the US is more severely affected in the Failed Transition with GDP projections 16% lower by 2060 under a Failed Transition compared to baseline.

---

*Analyze many more variables using the ClimateMAPS Scenarios Narratives Dashboard.*
Climate impacts on key economies: GDP considerations

Countries are impacted differently depending on their specific geographic and economic considerations. While the US is severely impacted under our 3 scenarios, Canada for instance suffers particularly from a transition.
Climate impacts on selected macroeconomic variables – United States*

A transition to net zero will increase US inflation

Cumulative US Inflation Projections
2020 – 2060

Comments

In the US, under the Paris Orderly and Disorderly Transition Pathways, there is an increase in prices in the upcoming years driven by demand-pull inflation effects: the stimulus effects of low-carbon energy and infrastructure investment drive an initial increase in prices.

In the longer term, with the low-carbon regulation put in place (e.g. phase out of fossil fuels) as well as the effect of learning-by-doing, energy product cost is expected to fall. This then drive down the energy/fuel prices. With subsidies and regulation, the cost of renewable technologies decrease overtime which partly affect the electricity price.

Under a Failed Transition, prices are not influenced by new policy changes in the short-term. However, mounting physical impacts will impact the economy in the medium and long term which will impose deflationary pressure towards the end of the time horizon. These effects are particularly large for the US.

*Analyze many more variables using the ClimateMAPS Scenarios Narratives Dashboard.
Climate impacts on key economies: inflation considerations

In the Paris Orderly and Disorderly Transition Pathways, the transition puts upward pressure on inflation in the US. The positive transition impact is larger than the (negative) impact of increasing physical risks up to 2030. In the long run, increasing gradual physical risks lead to a reduction in inflation. In the Failed Transition Pathway, increasing physical risks decrease inflation from the early 2030s.
Financial Results

Paris Orderly Transition

The following subsection focuses on the impacts induced by the Paris Orderly Transition pathway on your portfolio.

The key effects to keep in mind in this pathway are the initial transition shock occurring in the short-term as well as the locked-in physical risks that materialize later on. Annualized results are located in the annex.
Redacted
Redacted
Redacted
Redacted
Paris Orderly Transition Pathway

Climate impacts summary – Public equities

Public equities:
• The asset class is impacted by pricing-in shocks spread over 2021-2026.

Summary of climate impacts on equities:
• Equities suffer from transition risks in the first years. After 2026, physical risks gradually increase over time. The cumulative relative performance of the equity arm of the portfolio compares to baseline over 40 years is -11% under the Paris Orderly Transition pathway.
• Emerging markets tend to be less sensitive to both climate-related risks.
• Canada & the US suffers the most due to their dependency on fossil fuel exports, lack of energy efficiency and carbon pricing progress and high sensitivity to market sentiment.
• Overall, Japan and Singapore are the winners among the developed markets.
• Low carbon electricity
  • Winners: emerging markets, Australia and Singapore
  • Losers: Europe, the UK
• Other utilities and energy are the most negatively impacted sectors where all regions suffer important losses.
Paris Orderly Transition Pathway

Climate impacts summary – Others

Fixed Income:

- Interest rates in Canada, and most other countries, are not materially impacted in the short-run due to limited climate-related impacts on growth in this pathway.
- In the medium-run most countries experience some negative impacts from the transition, and in the longer term, they suffer more from physical risks.
- The gradual (but generally modest) decline in yields leads to a slight upward pressure on fixed income returns.
- Canadian corporate credits are more significantly impacted with cumulative return of -7% over the next 40 years compared to baseline. Still, these impacts remain much lower than those on equities.

Property:

- Listed and unlisted real estate behave similarly as listed equities. However, differences arise from divergence in regional exposure. It also has some differences in volatility between listed and unlisted benchmarks within a country.

Infrastructure:

- Listed infrastructure assets are expected to perform in a similar fashion as broad equities, albeit with a slightly more negative cumulative performance. OPERF’s specific infrastructure exposure is slightly more at risk than our broad benchmark, but remain in line with what’s expected on the asset class.
Financial Results

Paris Disorderly Transition

The following subsection focuses on the impacts induced by the Paris Disorderly Transition pathway your portfolio.

The key effects to keep in mind in this pathway are the delayed transition shock that strikes in 2024 as well as the sentiment shock and increased volatility in the following few years. After this initial chaotic transition, this pathway behaves the same way as its orderly counterpart. Annualized results are located in the annex.
Redacted
Redacted
Paris Disorderly Transition Pathway

Climate impacts summary – Public equities

**Public equity:**
- The asset class is impacted by the pricing-in shock in 2025H2 – 2026H1. This shock is deployed rapidly due to the delay in the implementation of required policies under the Paris transition.
- Both the pricing-in shock and the sentiment shock have a large impact across all regions from 2025H2 – 2026H1 onwards as delayed market pricing-in strikes.
- Under the Paris Disorderly pathway, there is a loss of about 17% on your equity portfolio in the first 5 years compared to the baseline. In 2025H2 – 2026H1, the abrupt sentiment shock also takes place and adds on top of the pricing-in shock.
- After the recovery, equity returns move roughly to baseline levels in both Paris pathways, while towards the end of the time horizon, equity returns are lowered by physical risks.

**Summary of climate impacts on equities:**
- Because of the delayed pricing-in shock, all the impacts are more significant in this pathway than under the Paris Orderly transition. Furthermore, the sentiment shock materially affects cumulative climate impacts by 2026.
- Emerging markets benefit from the transition to low-carbon technologies but are also more sensitive to negative impacts on high carbon technologies.
- Japan and Singapore are the “winners” among developed markets.
- Low carbon electricity – all regions benefit, however relatively we see the following:
  - Winners are Australia, emerging markets, Japan, the US
  - Losers: Europe and the UK
- Other utilities and Energy are the most negatively impacted sectors where all regions suffer important losses.
Paris Disorderly Transition Pathway

Climate impacts summary – Others

**Fixed Income:**
- Apart from the sentiment shock as well as different size and timing of the pricing-in shock, the yields are impacted in the same way as under the Paris Orderly Transition pathway. Therefore, in the medium term, interest rates generally go down slightly, with a somewhat larger impact for Canada than the UK for instance.
- This gradual (but generally modest) decline in yields leads to slight upward pressure on fixed income returns.
- The sentiment shock causes some upward short-term movements of sovereign yields, especially for the Canada, which in turns improve fixed income returns.
- For corporate credits, spread tightening movements benefit the portfolio after climate shocks.

**Property:**
- Similar impacts as under the Paris Orderly Transition pathway.

**Infrastructure:**
- Similar impacts as under the Paris Orderly Transition pathway.
Financial Results

Failed Transition

The following subsection focuses on the impacts induced by the Failed Transition pathway on your portfolio. The key effects to keep in mind in this pathway are the important physical risks that build up as time goes on. The expected losses associated with the physical risks compound with time and lead to important losses. As noted earlier, by 2038, the Failed Transition pathway is already expected to be the worst pathway of the three. From our experience, your portfolio is moderately impacted due to its exposure to relatively exposed assets classes such as public equities, real estate and infrastructure. The strong emphasis on Canadian assets reduces the exposure of the portfolio due to the smaller physical risks, compared to other, less resilient countries such as the US. All alternative asset mixes increase this exposure due to the reduction in Canadian exposure. Annualized results are located in the annex.
Redacted
Redacted
Redacted
Redacted
Failed Transition Pathway

Climate impacts summary – Public equities

Public equities:
- The entire asset class is affected by the delayed pricing in shocks from 2026-2031 and 2036-2041.

Summary of climate impacts on equities:
- In the first 5 year time bucket, impacts on equities are muted when compared to those of the Paris Transition pathways. This is because under the Failed Transition pathway, pricing-in shocks only start in 2026 and no transition shock occurs. A second pricing shock strikes in 2036-2041, intensifying the impact of physical risks on the asset class.
- Unlike under the Paris Transition pathways, the low-carbon electricity sector does not grow under the Failed Transition pathway. Since no additional efforts are made to transition towards renewable energy and no additional “green” technologies are developed, sectors only suffer from physical and extreme weather impacts, without experiencing any transition opportunities.
- There are no winners. The least impacted countries are Switzerland and Canada – due to their geographic location.
Failed Transition Pathway

Climate impacts summary – Others

**Fixed income:**
- Pricing in physical shocks in 2026-2031 and 2036-2041 hit the asset class during these periods. However, the credit spreads tightening in the subsequent years after both shocks benefit the asset class. From 2040, we see a rebound in credit returns.
- The asset class is not strongly affected by slow onset physical risks over the short and medium term. The influence on interest rates becomes significant in the long term (roughly from 2040 onwards). Significant lower economic growth in the long term drives nominal yields down.
- In the short to medium term, fixed income returns are not significantly impacted. In the long term, expected returns are lower due to the structurally low yields.
- Credits have a positive climate shock over the whole horizon. In comparison to equities, this is partially explained by the shorter term horizon compared to equities while climate impacts, especially under the Failed Transition, are more long-term oriented.

**Property:**
- Real estate is significantly affected by the pricing in shocks as the asset class is sensitive to physical damages and requires a strong correction in its valuation.
- The asset class is further impacted more significantly towards the end of the period when physical damages start to affect real estate prices.

**Infrastructure:**
- Infrastructure assets are expected to be affected more than other asset classes, particularly so in the US. The asset class suffers more or less like listed equities.
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