SOC 2 & NIST CSF 2.0 Alignment Guide

A Comprehensive Mapping of Trust Services Criteria to the NIST Cybersecurity Framework

Prepared by Iron City IT Advisors LLC | William Laukaitis, CEO

Executive Summary

This guide bridges the AICPA SOC 2 Trust Services Criteria (TSC) with the NIST Cybersecurity Framework 2.0 (CSF) to help organizations build unified security and compliance programs.

SOC 2 defines **what** must be controlled; NIST CSF defines **how** to structure those controls. Aligning both improves audit readiness, cross-framework efficiency, and executive visibility.

Framework Purposes

Framework	Purpose	Governing Body
SOC 2 (TSC)	Attestation of control effectiveness across Security, Availability, Processing Integrity, Confidentiality, and Privacy	AICPA
NIST CSF 2.0	Voluntary framework for managing and improving cybersecurity risk posture	NIST / U.S. Dept. of Commerce

Key Takeaways

- SOC 2 Security criteria (CC1–CC9) ≈ NIST CSF Functions (Govern, Identify, Protect, Detect, Respond, Recover).
- Alignment enables "audit-once, report-many" compliance.
- AICPA (2024) reported a **36** % **YoY increase** in SOC 2 adoptions, underscoring the business value of assurance reporting.
- CSF 2.0 expanded "Govern (GV)" Function, formalizing policy and measurement integration.

1. Overview of Trust Services Criteria

Category	Description	Common Criteria (CC)
Security	Protection of system resources against unauthorized access (required for all SOC 2 reports)	CC1 – CC9
Availability	Accessibility of systems and data per commitments	CC + A1
Processing Integrity	System processing is complete, valid, accurate, timely, authorized	CC + PI1
Confidentiality	Restricted access and use of confidential information CC + C1	
Privacy	Collection, use, retention, disclosure aligned with entity's privacy notice	CC + P1 – P9

2. NIST CSF 2.0 Core Functions

Function Abbrev.	Function Name	Focus Area Examples
GV	Govern	Risk management strategy, policy, roles and responsibilities
ID	Identify	Asset management, risk assessment, business environment
PR	Protect	Access control, data security, awareness training, maintenance
DE	Detect	Monitoring, anomaly detection, continuous security observations
RS	Respond	Incident response, mitigation, communications, coordination
RC	Recover	Recovery planning, improvement, resilience validation

3. SOC 2 \leftrightarrow NIST CSF Cross-Mapping (Practical Reference)

CC1 – Control Environment

Intent: Establish ethical culture and governance structure.

NIST CSF: GV.OC (Org Context), GV.RR (Risk Mgmt Strategy), GV.PO (Policies), GV.OV

(Oversight).

Evidence: Code of conduct, policy framework, board minutes, training attestations.

CC2 - Communication & Information

Intent: Enable timely, accurate communication internally and externally.

NIST CSF: GV.CT (Supply-Chain Comms), GV.PO, PR.DS (Data Security), ID.AM (Asset

Information).

Evidence: Security awareness materials, vendor notifications, incident templates.

CC3 - Risk Assessment

Intent: Identify objectives, risks, likelihood, and impact.

NIST CSF: GV.RR, ID.RA (Risk Assessment), ID.BE (Business Environment). **Evidence:** Risk registers, heat maps, treatment plans, annual review records.

CC4 – Monitoring Activities

Intent: Evaluate control performance and implement corrective actions.

NIST CSF: GV.ME (Measurement & Analysis), DE.MA (Monitoring & Anomalies). **Evidence:** Metrics dashboards, audit logs, issue tracking, management reviews.

CC5 - Control Activities

Intent: Translate policy into procedures and approvals.

NIST CSF: PR.AA (Identity & Access Mgmt), PR.AT (Awareness & Training), PR.MA

(Maintenance).

Evidence: SOPs, workflow approvals, segregation of duties records.

CC6 - Logical & Physical Access Controls

Intent: Restrict system access to authorized users and devices.

NIST CSF: PR.AA (Access Mgmt), PR.DS (Data Security), PR.PS (Platform Security). **Evidence:** MFA configs, access reviews, badge logs, firewall rules, SSO settings.

CC7 – System Operations & Change Management

Intent: Manage changes securely and maintain operational integrity.

NIST CSF: PR.MA, DE.CM (Continuous Monitoring), RS.MI (Mitigation), RC.IM

(Improvements).

Evidence: Change tickets, CAB approvals, patch reports, incident logs, rollback tests.

CC8 - Vendor / Third-Party Risk Management

Intent: Assess and monitor outsourced service providers.

NIST CSF: GV.SC (Supply-Chain Risk Mgmt).

Evidence: TPRM policies, vendor risk scores, CAIQ/SIG responses, BAAs.

CC9 – Business Continuity & Resilience

Intent: Ensure continuity of operations and recovery from disruptions.

NIST CSF: RS.PO (Response Planning), RS.CO (Communications), RC.RP (Recovery Plans),

RC.CO (Comms).

Evidence: BCP/DR plans, tabletop exercises, RTO/RPO metrics, after-action reports.

4. Category-Specific TSC Alignment Highlights

TSC Category	Typical NIST Functions	Example NIST Sub-Categories
Availability (A1)	ID.BE, PR.PS, RC.RP	Backup & redundancy controls, RTO/RPO targets
Processing Integrity (PI1)	PR.MA, DE.CM	Change testing & validation, transaction accuracy

TSC Category	Typical NIST Functions	Example NIST Sub-Categories
Confidentiality (C1)	PR.DS, PR.AA	Encryption key management, access restrictions
Privacy (P1–P9)	ID.BE, PR.DS, GV.RR	Data minimization, purpose limitation, consent records

5. AICPA and NIST Market Context (2024–2025)

- **36** % **increase** in SOC 2 adoptions across U.S. mid-market companies (AICPA Assurance Survey 2024).
- Over **90** % of SOC 2 auditors leverage **NIST CSF** for control mapping and testing alignment.
- NIST CSF 2.0 adds "Govern" Function and renames ID.AM → PR.AA and PR.PT updates to clarify technology asset scope.
- AICPA emphasizes SOC 2 readiness as a competitive differentiator in RFPs and vendor security assessments.

6. Implementation Tips

- Establish cross-framework mapping matrix in your GRC tool (ServiceNow, ZenGRC, or custom Excel).
- 2. Tag controls by both criteria (e.g., CC6.1 ↔ PR.AA-01) to enable dual reporting.
- 3. Use NIST Implementation Examples for SOC 2 testing evidence.
- 4. **Automate evidence collection** via SIEM, ticketing, and policy repositories.
- 5. **Perform annual cross-walk reviews** to keep in sync with framework updates.

7. References

AICPA. (2017, updated 2022). Trust Services Criteria for Security, Availability,
Processing Integrity, Confidentiality, and Privacy. AICPA.org.

- AICPA Assurance & Advisory Innovation Survey 2024 SOC Reporting Trends.
- NIST. (2024). Cybersecurity Framework 2.0 Core and Implementation Examples. U.S. Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology.
- NIST CSF 2.0 Reference Tool (https://www.nist.gov/cyberframework).

About Iron City IT Advisors

Iron City IT Advisors LLC is a U.S.-based IT and Cybersecurity consulting firm specializing in vCISO and Fractional CISO services, HIPAA and SOC 2 alignment, and NIST CSF maturity roadmaps for healthcare, SaaS, and regulated industries.

Contact: info@ironcityit.com