

BOARD ROOM ESSAY

EXECUTIVE BRIEF NO. 001

Find and Follow AI's True North.

Vendors sell potential. Value comes from somewhere else entirely: the leader who deploys AI, the culture that surrounds it, and the clarity of purpose that drives it.

AI LEADERSHIP

6 MIN READ • AUGUST 10, 2026



Find and Follow AI's True North.

Vendors sell potential. Value comes from the leader who deploys AI, the culture that surrounds it, and the clarity of purpose that drives it.

BY TOM LAWRY

Walk into the exhibit hall of any medical, life science, or IT conference, and the noise hits you immediately — hundreds of vendors, each promising that their AI-infused solution will transform your organization.

Some of it is true. But here is something you will almost never hear from a vendor, and it is one of the most important messages I can offer:

AI technology creates potential.

Leadership determines whether value is achieved.

Whether an AI solution delivers measurable, scalable value has almost nothing to do with what you purchase or license from a vendor. It has almost everything to do with you — the leader who deploys it, the culture that surrounds it, and the clarity of purpose that drives it.

Every AI solution you purchase starts as a capital or operating expense. On its own, your investment in hardware, software, or cloud services adds zero value — even when the technology does exactly what it is supposed to do.

The purchase is not the strategy. It is the beginning of the work.

“Technology is nothing. What’s important is that you have faith in people.”

— STEVE JOBS

What separates leaders who create value from those who don’t

Over nearly two decades, I have worked alongside brilliant, mission-driven leaders whose singular quest was to make health and medicine better. I have had a front-row seat to both promising successes and expensive failures — and more than enough time to observe what separates leaders who create lasting value at scale from those who accumulate a portfolio of AI pilots that never leave the runway.

The variables differed across organizations — size, market dynamics, data maturity, available capital. None of them were the deciding factor.

When I strip all of that away and search for the single variable that best predicts success, one pattern emerges every time.

The leaders who create what I call the AI value flywheel do not start with technology.

They start with people.

Done right, AI is not about technology. It’s about empowerment.

Whether you are a CEO or a department manager, if you lead from the conviction that AI is about empowering every knowledge worker to be better at the things they care about, your approach and your actions are fundamentally different from those who treat AI primarily as a technology initiative.

And those different actions produce dramatically different results.

The technology-first trap

Many leaders begin their AI journey with a technology-first approach, and it is easy to understand why. Technology moves fast. Vendors are convincing. The pressure to show that your organization is not being left behind is real and constant.

In this model, success gets measured by deployments and adoption metrics. AI strategy gets delegated to IT or a data science division. The C-suite is watching, but not driving.

Frontline staff are expected to adapt to the technology.

Sometimes it works. Often it does not.

Because even brilliant technology cannot fix a broken workflow. It cannot resolve a misaligned incentive or earn the trust of a clinician who was never asked for their input.

When people feel that AI is being done to them rather than with them, the resistance that follows is not a technology problem.

It is a leadership problem.

The empowerment approach

Leaders who treat AI as an empowerment initiative start from a different place entirely.

Instead of asking what the technology can do, they begin by asking a more important question: Where and why are people struggling to do their best work?

Then — and only then — do they ask how intelligence might help.

In this approach, AI becomes a tool for amplifying human capability. These leaders look for friction inside clinical and operational workflows. They look for decision points where better insight could improve care. They look for administrative burdens that are draining time and energy from the workforce. They look at how they can reduce the friction that consumers and patients feel when they turn to the organization in need.

Frontline teams are not passive observers in this process. They are involved upstream. They are partners in shaping how intelligence gets applied.

Planning, deployment, and governance bring clinical and operational leaders alongside technical experts — because the people closest to the work know where the real problems live.

Success is measured not by system utilization but by real improvements in care quality, in decision-making, in workforce experience. Training and upskilling are not afterthoughts — they are line items in the AI budget and commitments to the workforce.

When AI is framed this way, it stops being a technology project. It becomes a workforce strategy and a competitive differentiator.

Two kinds of leaders. Two very different outcomes.

The difference between these two approaches might seem subtle on paper. Inside organizations, it changes everything. It shows up every day — in concrete decisions, at every stage of planning and execution.

Take a hard look at the table below and ask yourself honestly: which column describes how your organization is operating right now?

	AI as a Technology Play 	AI as an Empowerment Play 
 Asks:	What can AI do?	Where are our people struggling to do their best work?
 Measures:	Adoption and features deployed	Outcomes improved and burdens lifted
 Governance owned by:	IT and compliance	Clinical and operational leaders and teams
 Change management is:	A training issue	A culture and mission conversation
 Roadmap driven by:	Vendor capabilities	Care gaps and strategic priorities
 Frontline staff are:	End users to train	Co-designers and primary beneficiaries
 Value =	Cost savings and efficiency	Better decisions, better care, better work
 People/Technology:	People adapt to the technology	Technology is designed to amplify people

AI as a Technology Play vs. an Empowerment Play — which column describes how your organization is operating right now?

THE AI VALUE FLYWHEEL

- AI improvements build organizational capability
- Capability enables better decisions
- Better decisions deliver better outcomes
- Outcomes justify further investment — and the cycle compounds

Let's be clear about one thing: leaders on both sides of this table want to do right by their organizations and those they serve. The difference is not in their intentions. It is where they anchor their thinking. That anchoring determines everything downstream.

One starts with the tool and works outward. The other starts with the human being — the clinician, the patient, the frontline worker who is either helped or burdened by every decision you make — and works backward to find the technology that best serves them.

Getting the foundation right

Operating firmly in that second column does not require entirely new skills. It requires what great leaders have always done: relentless clarity of purpose, honest self-assessment, the discipline to measure what actually matters, and the humility to let the people closest to the work shape how the work gets redesigned.

What is new is the context. AI moves faster than any previous technology wave. The decisions you make in the next two to three years will establish patterns — of culture,

capability, and trust — that will be very difficult to undo.

Getting the foundation right matters more than getting there first.

The research backs this up. The organizations that create lasting value from AI are not the fastest adopters. They are the ones who invest in the human side of the equation: transforming data into usable insights, engaging the right teams in design and implementation, and building the

workforce readiness and change management capacity to drive impact at scale.

In the end, Intelligent Health Leaders are those who focus their attention on using AI to empower every doctor, nurse, knowledge worker, and consumer to be better at the things they care most about.

That is AI's true north.


T.

SOURCE

"From Promise to Practice: The Next Era of AI in Health Care," NEJM AI, Vol. 3, No. 4 (2026).

Tom Lawry is managing director of Second Century Tech, author of AI in Health, and the former national director of AI for health and life sciences at Microsoft.

www.tomlawry.com



Available in October from all major booksellers.

[**Preorder from Amazon**](#)

© 2026 Tom Lawry. All rights reserved.

This essay reflects the author's views and is for general information only; it is not legal or clinical advice.