

Arrow Academy Charter School



Annual Financial Accountability Management Report 2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Two Year Comparison	2
2019-2020 Charter FIRST Rating (Based on School Year 2018-2019 Data).....	3
Charter FIRST Rating – Indicator Detail Summary.....	4
Charter FIRST Rating – Determination of Ratings	6
Size Dependent Indicators – Indicator 11	7
Charter FIRST Annual Financial Management Report.....	8

Two Year Comparison

ARROW ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL DISTRICT

CHARTER FINANCIAL INTEGRITY RATING SYSTEM OF TEXAS (FIRST)

Based on Data from Fiscal Year Ended August 31, 2019

Indicator	Criteria Description	Rating Year			
		2018-2019		2019-2020	
		Yes/No	Score	Yes/No	Score
1	Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and charter school financial data submitted to TEA within 30 days of the November 27 or January 28 deadline depending on the charter school's fiscal year end date of June 30 or August 31, respectively?	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
2.A	Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial statements as a whole?	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
2.B	Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds?	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
3	Was the charter school in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end?	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
4	Did the charter school make timely payments to the Teachers Retirement System (TRS), Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and other government agencies?	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
5	Was the total net asset balance in the Statement of Financial Position for the charter school greater than zero?	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
6	Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments for the charter school sufficient to cover operating expenses?	Yes	10	Yes	10
7	Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the charter school sufficient to cover short-term debt?	Yes	10	Yes	10
8	Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the charter school sufficient to support long-term solvency?	Yes	10	Yes	10
9	Did the charter school's revenues equal or exceed expenses, excluding depreciation? If not, was the charter school's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 40 days?	Yes	10	Yes	10
10	Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service?	Yes	10	Yes	10
11	Was the charter school's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio?	Yes	6	Yes	6
12	Did the charter school not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total staff)?	Yes	10	Yes	10
13	Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the charter school's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenses by function?	Yes	10	Yes	10
14	Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds?	Yes	10	Yes	10
15	Did the charter school not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an overallocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship?	Yes	10	Yes	10
TOTAL SCORE (Total Possible = 100)			96		96

Rating Criteria: Passing Score = 60 or more and "Yes" to indicators 1, 2A, 3, 4, and 5.

A = Superior; Score of 90-100

B = Above Standard; Score of 80-89

C = Meets Standard; Score of 60-79

F = Substandard Achievement; Score <60

TEA 2019-2020

Rating:

A

Superior



User: Public
User Role: Public

Rating Year: 2019-2020 ▼ CDN: 021805 ▼ Select An Option ▼ Help
Home Exit

2019-2020 Ratings Based on Fiscal Year 2019 Data - Charter School Status Detail

Charter School Status Detail Indicator Detail Summary Determination of Ratings Size-Dependent Indicators

Name: ARROW ACADEMY(021805)	Publication Level 0: 5/7/2020 11:17:58 AM
Status: PASSED	Publication Level 1: 11/6/2020 5:41:59 PM
Rating: A - Superior	Publication Level 2: 11/6/2020 5:41:59 PM
Charter School Score: 96	
Passing Score: 60	Last Updated: 11/6/2020 5:41:59 PM

Options

Print

Audit Home Page: [Financial Compliance Division](#) | Send comments or suggestions to financialaccountability@tea.texas.gov
The [Texas Education Agency](#)
1701 North Congress Avenue · Austin, Texas, 78701 · (512) 463-9095
[Copyright © Texas Education Agency \(TEA\) 2007-2017](#)

This website is best viewed in Internet Explorer 6.0 and above.
charterfirst 3.1.3.3



User: Public
User Role: Public

Rating Year: 2019-2020 ▼ CDN: 021805 ▼ Select An Option ▼ [Help](#)
[Home](#) [Exit](#)

2019-2020 Ratings Based on Fiscal Year 2019 Data - Charter School Status Detail

Charter School Status Detail		Indicator Detail Summary		Determination of Ratings		Size-Dependent Indicators	
ARROW ACADEMY(021805)							
Status	Indicator Num	Indicator Description		Updated		Score	
P	+1 1	Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and charter school financial data submitted to TEA within 30 days of the November 27 or January 28 deadline depending on the charter school's fiscal year end date of June 30 or August 31, respectively?		5/7/2020 11:17:52 AM		YES	
P	+1 2A	Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial statements as a whole? (The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) defines unmodified opinion. The external independent auditor determines if there was an unmodified opinion.)		5/7/2020 11:17:52 AM		YES	
	2B	Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material weakness.)		5/7/2020 11:17:52 AM		-	
P	+1 3	Was the charter school in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end? (If the charter school was in default in a prior fiscal year, an exemption applies in following years if the charter school is current on its forbearance or payment plan with the lender and the payments are made on schedule for the fiscal year being rated. Also exempted are technical defaults that are not related to monetary defaults. A technical default is a failure to uphold the terms of a debt covenant, contract, or master promissory note even though payments to the lender, trust, or sinking fund are current. A debt agreement is a legal agreement between a debtor (person, company, etc. that owes money) and their creditors, which includes a plan for paying back the debt.)		5/7/2020 11:17:52 AM		YES	
P	+1 4	Did the charter school make timely payments to the Teachers Retirement System (TRS), Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and other government agencies?		5/7/2020 11:17:52 AM		YES	
P	+1 5	Was the total net asset balance in the Statement of Financial Position for the charter school greater than zero? (If the charter school's change of students in membership over 5 years was 7 percent or more, then the charter school passes this indicator.) (New charter schools that have a negative net asset balance will pass this indicator if they have an average of 7 percent growth in students year over year until it completes its fifth year of operations. After the fifth year of operations, the calculation changes to the 7 percent increase in 5 years.)		5/7/2020 11:17:52 AM		YES	
	6	Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments for the charter school sufficient to cover operating expenses? The calculation will use expenses, excluding depreciation. For government charter schools, pension expense will be excluded.		5/7/2020 11:17:52 AM		10	
	7	Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the charter school sufficient to cover short-term debt?		5/7/2020 11:17:52 AM		10	
	8	Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the charter school sufficient to support long-term solvency? (If the charter school's change of students in membership over 5 years was 7 percent or more, then the charter school passes this indicator.) (New charter schools that have a negative net asset balance will pass this indicator if they have an average of 7 percent growth in students year over year until it completes its fifth year of operations. After the fifth year of operations, the calculation changes to the 7 percent increase in 5 years.)		5/7/2020 11:17:52 AM		10	

	9	Did the charter school's revenues equal or exceed expenses, excluding depreciation? If not, was the charter school's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 40 days? The calculation will use expenses, excluding depreciation. For government charter schools, pension expense will be excluded.	5/7/2020 11:17:52 AM	10
	10	Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service?	5/7/2020 11:17:52 AM	10
	11	Was the charter school's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio?	5/7/2020 11:17:52 AM	6
	12	Did the charter school not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total staff)? (If the student enrollment did not decrease, the charter school will automatically pass this indicator.)	5/7/2020 11:17:52 AM	10
	13	Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the charter school's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenses by function?	5/7/2020 11:17:52 AM	10
	14	Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material noncompliance.)	5/7/2020 11:17:52 AM	10
	15	Did the charter school not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over-allocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship?	5/7/2020 11:17:52 AM	10
				96 Weighted Sum
				1 Multiplier Sum
				96 Score

†1: must pass 1, 3, 4, 5 and 2A

Options

[Print](#)

Audit Home Page: [Financial Compliance Division](#) | Send comments or suggestions to financialaccountability@tea.texas.gov
The [Texas Education Agency](#)
1701 North Congress Avenue · Austin, Texas, 78701 · (512) 463-9095
[Copyright © Texas Education Agency \(TEA\) 2007-2017](#)

This website is best viewed in Internet Explorer 6.0 and above.
charterfirst 3.1.3.3



User: Public
User Role: Public

Rating Year: 2019-2020 ▼ CDN: 021805 ▼ Select An Option ▼

Help
Home Exit

2019-2020 Ratings Based on Fiscal Year 2019 Data - Charter School Status Detail

Charter School Status Detail

Indicator Detail Summary

Determination of Ratings

Size-Dependent Indicators

A: Did The Charter School fail any of the critical indicators 1, 3, 4, 5 or 2A? If so, then the Charter School's rating is F for Substandard Achievement, regardless of points earned.

B: Determine rating by applicable range for summation of the indicator scores (INDICATORS 6-15).

Rating	Points
Pass	0-0
Fail	0-0
Suspended	0-0
Undetermined	0-0
A - Superior	90-100
B - Above Standard	80-89
C - Meets Standard	60-79
F - Substandard Achievement	0-59

Options

Print

Audit Home Page: [Financial Compliance Division](#) | Send comments or suggestions to financialaccountability@tea.texas.gov
The [Texas Education Agency](#)
1701 North Congress Avenue · Austin, Texas, 78701 · (512) 463-9095
[Copyright © Texas Education Agency \(TEA\) 2007-2017](#)

This website is best viewed in Internet Explorer 6.0 and above.
charterfirst 3.1.3.3

User: Public
User Role: Public

Rating Year: 2019-2020 ▼ CDN: 021805 ▼ Select An Option ▼

Help
Home Exit

2019-2020 Ratings Based on Fiscal Year 2019 Data - Charter School Status Detail

Charter School Status Detail Indicator Detail Summary Determination of Ratings Size-Dependent Indicators

Indicator 11

ADA Size:	≥ 1,000	500-999	< 500	Points
	≤ 0.1401	≤ 0.1561	≤ 0.2645	10
	> 0.1401 and ≤ 0.1651	> 0.1561 and ≤ 0.1811	> 0.2645 and ≤ 0.2895	8
Threshold	> 0.1651 and ≤ 0.1901	> 0.1811 and ≤ 0.2061	> 0.2895 and ≤ 0.3145	6
Ratio	> 0.1901 and ≤ 0.2151	> 0.2061 and ≤ 0.2311	> 0.3145 and ≤ 0.3395	4
	> 0.2151 and ≤ 0.2401	> 0.2311 and ≤ 0.2561	> 0.3395 and ≤ 0.3645	2
	> 0.2401	> 0.2561	> 0.3645	0

Options

Print

Audit Home Page: [Financial Compliance Division](#) | Send comments or suggestions to financialaccountability@tea.texas.gov
The [Texas Education Agency](#)
1701 North Congress Avenue · Austin, Texas, 78701 · (512) 463-9095
[Copyright © Texas Education Agency \(TEA\) 2007-2017](#)

This website is best viewed in Internet Explorer 6.0 and above.
charterfirst 3.1.3.3

Charter FIRST Annual Financial Management Report

ARROW ACADEMY

Title 19 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 109, Budgeting, Accounting, and Auditing Subchapter AA, Commissioner's Rules Concerning Financial Accountability Rating System, Section 109.1001(q). Effective 8/1/2018.

Superintendent's Current Employment Contract

The Superintendent's contract is posted on the Arrow Academy website.
Please go to www.arrowacademy.org, select "Resources/Public Disclosure Info" and choose "Terms of Employment".

Reimbursements Received by the Superintendent and Board Members

For the Twelve-Month Period
Ended August 31, 2020

Description of Reimbursements	Superintendent	Board Members					
	Audrey Sanders	Bill Walsh	Brian Lee	Carmen Maxwell	David Shellenberger	Connie Wood	
Meals	\$ 88	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Lodging	\$ 109						
Transportation	\$ 595						
Motor Fuel	\$ -						
Other	\$ 867						
Total	\$ 1,660	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	

All "reimbursements" expenses, regardless of the manner of payment, including direct pay, credit card, cash, and purchase order are to be reported.

Items to be reported per category include:

Meals – Meals consumed out of town, and in geographic-boundary meals at area restaurants (outside of board meetings, excludes catered board meeting meals).

Lodging – Hotel charges.

Transportation – Airfare, car rental (can include fuel on rental, taxis, mileage reimbursements, leased cars, parking and tolls).

Motor fuel – Gasoline.

Other: Registration fees, telephone/cell phone, internet service, fax machine, and other reimbursements (or on-behalf of) to the superintendent and board member not defined above.

Outside Compensation and/or Fees Received by the Superintendent for Professional Consulting and/or Other Personal Services

For the Twelve-Month Period
Ended August 31, 2020

<u>Name(s) of Entity(ies)</u>	Amount Received
N/A	\$ -
Total	<u>\$ -</u>

Compensation does not include business revenues generated from a family business (farming, ranching, etc.) that has no relation to charter school business.

Gifts Received by Executive Officers and Board Members (and First Degree Relatives, if any) (gifts that had an economic value of \$250 or more in the aggregate in the fiscal year)

For the Twelve-Month Period
Ended August 31, 2020

	Superintendent	Board Members				
	Audrey Sanders	Bill Walsh	Brian Lee	Carmen Maxwell	David Shellenberger	Connie Wood
Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Note – An executive officer is defined as the superintendent, unless the board of trustees or the charter school administration names additional staff under this classification for local officials.

Business Transactions Between Charter School and Board Members

For the Twelve-Month Period
Ended August 31, 2020

	Bill Walsh	Brian Lee	Carmen Maxwell	David Shellenberger	Connie Wood
Amounts	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Note – The summary amounts reported under this disclosure are not to duplicate the items disclosed in the summary schedule of reimbursements received by board members.